Qinyu Fan, Esq. Hang & Associates, PLLC 136-20 38th Avenue, Suite 10G Flushing, NY 11354

Fax: (718) 353-6288

Email: qfan@hanglaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff and proposed FLSA Collective

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Peng Liu, individually and on behalf of all other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Chin Ma II, Inc. d/b/a China Sea of Absecon, Lily Lin, Chei-Tzea Lin, and Nai Yuh Lin,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-12507

# COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Peng Liu ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff Liu"), on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Defendants Chin Ma II, Inc. d/b/a China Sea of Absecon, Lily Lin, Chei-Tzea Lin, and Nai Yuh Lin (collectively "Defendants"), alleges and shows the Court the following:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, including kitchen workers, waiters, delivery drivers, delivery packagers, and all other non-exempt employees of the Defendants, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards

Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New Jersey Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A.§34:11-56 et seq. ("NJWHL" hereinafter), arising from Defendants' various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.

- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NJWHL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked, overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each week, and improper retention of tips.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime wages, (3) liquidated damages, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff further alleges pursuant to NJWHL that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime wages, (3) liquidated damages, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the NJWHL claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

# **PLAINTIFF**

- 7. Plaintiff Liu is a resident of New York State and was employed by the Defendants' restaurant, Chin Ma II, Inc. d/b/a China Sea of Absecon, (hereinafter "China Sea of Absecon") located at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30), Absecon, New Jersey 08210.
- 8. Plaintiff Liu was hired as a delivery packager person and a helper, from approximately December 16, 2005 to May 1, 2021.

# **DEFENDANTS**

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant China Sea of Absecon had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, China Sea of Absecon and Plaintiff handled goods moved in interstate commerce.
- 10. China Sea of Absecon has about 15 employees. Among them are 6 kitchen workers, 1 waiter, 2 to 3 bus boys, 5 to 6 delivery drivers, and a delivery packager.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Lily Lin (hereinafter "Lily") is the officer, and/or managing agent of China Sea of Absecon at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30), Absecon, New Jersey 08210, and participated in the day-to-day operations of China Sea of Absecon. She interviewed Plaintiff and determined Plaintiff's salary rate. During Plaintiff's employment in China Sea of Absecon, Lily supervised him, and handled Plaintiff's payrolls.
- 12. Thus, Defendant Lily acted intentionally and maliciously in management and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, NJWHL §12:56-2.1 and the regulations thereunder. Therefore, Defendant Lily is jointly and severally liable with China Sea of Absecon.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chei-Tzea Lin (hereinafter "Chei-Tzea") is the officer, and/or managing agent of China Sea of Absecon at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30),

Absecon, New Jersey 08210, and participated in the day-to-day operations of China Sea of Absecon. He interviewed Plaintiff and determined Plaintiff's salary rate. During Plaintiff's employment in China Sea of Absecon, Chei-Tzea Lin supervised him, and handled Plaintiff's payrolls.

- 14. Thus, Defendant Chei-Tzea acted intentionally and maliciously in management and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, NJWHL §12:56-2.1 and the regulations thereunder. Therefore, Defendant Chei-Tzea is jointly and severally liable with China Sea of Absecon.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nai Yuh Lin (hereinafter "Nai Yuh") is the officer, and/or managing agent of China Sea of Absecon at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30), Absecon, New Jersey 08210, and participated in the day-to-day operations of China Sea of Absecon. He interviewed Plaintiff and determined Plaintiff's salary rate. During Plaintiff's employment in China Sea of Absecon, Nai Yuh Lin supervised him, and handled Plaintiff's payrolls.
- 16. Thus, Defendant Nai Yuh Lin acted intentionally and maliciously in management and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, NJWHL §12:56-2.1 and the regulations thereunder. Therefore, Defendant Nai Yuh Lin is jointly and severally liable with China Sea of Absecon.
- 17. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by China Sea of Absecon.
- 18. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff has lawfully earned basic minimum hourly wages and overtime compensation, and made improper retention of employees' tips, in violation of the NJWHL.

19. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

# **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 20. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 21. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of basic minimum hourly wages and overtime compensation, and the improper retention of Plaintiff's tips would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.
- 22. From approximately December 16, 2005 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was hired by Defendants to work as a delivery packager for Defendants' restaurant business located at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30), Absecon, New Jersey 08210.
- 23. From approximately December 16, 2005 to April 1, 2020, Plaintiff Liu worked six (6) days a week with Monday off. From Tuesday to Thursday, Plaintiff Liu has the same schedule. He would start working at 10:30 am and get off at 10:00 pm. On Friday and Saturday, he would start working at 10:30 am, and get off at 11:00 pm. On Sunday, he would start working at 12:00 am, and get off 10:00 pm. Thus, Plaintiff Liu worked Seventy and a half (70.50) hours per week.
- 24. From approximately April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu worked six (6) days a week with Monday off. From Tuesday to Thursday, Plaintiff Liu has the same schedule. He would start working at 10:30 am and get off at 9:00 pm. On Friday and Saturday, he would start working at 10:30 am, and get off at 10:00 pm. On Sunday, he would start working at 12:00 am, and get off 9:00 pm. Thus, Plaintiff Liu worked sixty-four and a half (64.50) hours per week.
  - 25. From approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of

\$2,700 per day, regardless of his actual hours worked. Defendants paid Plaintiff Liu biweekly in cash. Thus, from approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu's fixed salary rate was less than \$8.84 per hour.

- 26. From approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,800 per day, regardless of his actual hours worked. Defendants paid Plaintiff Liu biweekly in cash. Thus, from approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu's fixed salary rate was less than \$9.16 per hour.
- 27. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff Liu was hired by Defendants to work as a delivery packager, for Defendants' restaurant business located at 662 White Horse Pike (Rt. 30), Absecon, New Jersey 08210.
- 28. Defendants did not count or compensate Plaintiff Liu for minimum wages according to state and federal laws since January 2019.
- 29. Defendants did not count or compensate Plaintiff Liu for overtime wages according to state and federal laws.
- 30. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 31. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.
- 32. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other employees with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay.
- 33. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against the Plaintiff, and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

# **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 34. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New Jersey State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and NYWHL and the supporting federal and New Jersey State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 35. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom failed to receive overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the "Collective Action Members"), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.
- 36. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than Ten (10) Collective Action members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 37. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment

law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.

- 38. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this class that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 39. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.
- 40. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:
  - a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
  - b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;

- c. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that terms is used within the context of the FLSA; and,
- d. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.
- 41. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.
- 42. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

## **STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

#### **COUNT I**

# [Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wages Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 43. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).
- 45. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC §216(b).

9

- 46. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pays violated the FLSA.
- 47. Here, Plaintiff Liu worked seventy and a half (70.50) hours a week from approximately December 16, 2005 to April 1, 2020, and then six-four and a half (64.50) hours a week from April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2021. From approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,700 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day. From approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,800 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day.
- 48. Therefore, at all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 49. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.
- 50. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.
- 51. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure the Plaintiff and Collective Action members. Therefore, Plaintiff and the FLSA collection shall recover from

Defendants their unpaid overtime wages, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

#### **COUNT II**

# [Violations of the NJWHL—Overtime Wages Brought on behalf of Plaintiff]

- 52. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. NJWHL 12:56-6.1 provides that, for each hour of working time in excess of 40 hours in any week, except for those exemptions set forth in N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a4 or as provided in N.J.A.C. 12:56-7.1, every employer shall pay to each of his or her employees, wages at a rate of not less than 1 and ½ times such employee's regular hourly wage.
- 54. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff his overtime wages required by law violated the NJWHL.
- 55. Here, as discussed above, Plaintiff Liu worked seventy and a half (70.50) hours a week from approximately December 16, 2005 to April 1, 2020, and then six-four and a half (64.50) hours a week from April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2021. From approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,700 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day. From approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,800 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day.
- 56. Therefore, at all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the NJWHL.
  - 57. The NJWHL and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of

employment law requirements. NJWHL 8:43E-8.6 et seq.

- 58. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's labor.
- 59. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the NJWHL as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure the Plaintiff. Therefore, Plaintiff shall recover from Defendants his unpaid overtime wages, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

### **COUNT III**

# [Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Minimum Wages Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 60. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. The FLSA requires employers of covered employees who are not otherwise exempt to pay these employees a minimum wage of not less than \$7.25 per hour effective July 24, 2009.
- 62. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC \$216(b).
- 63. Here, as stated above, Plaintiff Liu worked seventy and a half (70.50) hours a week from approximately December 16, 2005 to April 1, 2020, and then six-four and a half (64.50) hours a week from April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2021. From approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff

Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,700 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day. From approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,800 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day.

- 64. Therefore, from approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of less than \$8.84 per hour. From July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was aid at a fixed salary rate less than \$9.16 per hour.
- 65. Thus, at all relevant times, Plaintiff were paid below the FLSA minimum wage rate (\$7.50 per hour).
- 66. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff at the required statutory minimum wage rate violates the FLSA.
- 67. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective at the statutory minimum wage rate violates when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members. Therefore, Plaintiff and the FLSA collection shall recover from Defendants their unpaid minimum wages, and additionally an equal amount as liquidated damages.

## **COUNT IV**

# [Violations of the NJWHL—Minimum Wages Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs]

- 68. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 69. N.J.A.C. 12:56-3.1 provides the New Jersey State minimum wage rate, and the rate is adjusted every year. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 12:56-3.1, in 2016, the minimum wage rate was 8.38;

in 2017, the minimum wage rate was \$8.44 per hour; On or after January 1, 2018 the minimum wage rate was \$8.60 per hour."; and on or after January 1, 2019, and before July 1, 2019, the rate became \$8.85 per hour.

- 70. NJWHL also listed the state minimum wage rate for the last three years.
- 71. Here, as stated above, Plaintiff Liu worked seventy and a half (70.50) hours a week from approximately December 16, 2005 to April 1, 2020, and then six-four and a half (64.50) hours a week from April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2021. From approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,700 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day. From approximately July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of \$2,800 per day regardless of the actual number of hours he worked every day.
- 72. Therefore, from approximately 2018 to July 2019, Plaintiff Liu was paid at a fixed rate of less than \$8.84 per hour. From July 2019 to May 1, 2021, Plaintiff Liu was aid at a fixed salary rate less than \$9.16 per hour.
- 73. Thus, at all relevant times, Plaintiff Liu was paid below the New Jersey state minimum wage rate since January 1, 2019 (as listed above).
- 74. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs at the required statutory minimum wage rate violates the NJWHL, and NJAC.
- 75. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the NJWHL as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiffs at the statutory minimum wage rate violates when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure the Plaintiffs. Therefore, Plaintiffs shall recover from Defendants their unpaid minimum wages, and additionally an equal amount as liquidated damages.

# **Prayer For Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, and the FLSA collective, respectfully request that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and premium overtime wages;
- b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and NJWHL;
- e) An injunction against Chin Ma II, Inc. d/b/a China Sea of Absecon, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
- f) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and NYWHL, whichever is greater;

g) An award of unpaid minimum wages due under FLSA and NYWHL, whichever is

greater;

h) An award of recovery from the Defendants damages to be determined at trial due

to retaliation.

i) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants'

knowing and willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;

j) An award of liquidated and/ or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful

failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to NJWHL;

k) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants'

knowing and willful failure to pay minimum wages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §207(a);

1) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants'

knowing and willful failure to pay minimum wages pursuant to NJWHL;

m) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys'

and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NJWHL 34:11-56a25.;

n) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing

and willful retaliation pursuant to 29 USCS § 215(a)(3);

o) The cost and disbursements of this action;

p) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;

and

q) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just,

and proper.

Dated: Flushing, New York

HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

16

June 14, 2021	/s Qinyu Fan
	· ·
	Qinyu Fan, Esq.
	136-18 39 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 1003
	Flushing, New York 11354

Tel: 718.353.8588 qfan@hanglaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT 1

# **CONSENT TO SUE UNDER** FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Hong Yuan Restaurant a/k/a China Sea of Absecon and/or related entities and individuals. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid overtime and minimum wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Ling pen 4
Full Legal Name (Print)

Signature

2021. 6. 7
Date